# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43556 Japan International Corp dba City Star Shell RN101559573

Docket No. 2012-0359-PST-E

**Order Type:** 

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

**PST** 

**Small Business:** 

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

City Star Shell, 5200 Rufe Snow Drive, North Richland Hills, Tarrant County

**Type of Operation:** 

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

**Other Significant Matters:** 

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: August 3, 2012

Comments Received: No

**Penalty Information** 

**Total Penalty Assessed:** \$13,703

**Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement:** \$2,740 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

**Total Paid to General Revenue:** \$0 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$10,963

Payment Plan: N/A

On or about April 2, 2012, the Respondent filed a petition for bankruptcy relief pursuant to

Chapter 11 of the United States Code.

**SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

**Compliance History Classifications:** 

Person/CN - Poor Site/RN - Poor

Major Source: No

**Statutory Limit Adjustment:** N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

### Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43556 Japan International Corp dba City Star Shell RN101559573 Docket No. 2012-0359-PST-E

### **Investigation Information**

Complaint Date(s): N/A Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: December 16, 2011

Date(s) of NOE(s): January 27, 2012

### Violation Information

- 1. Failed to have the cathodic protection system inspected and tested for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(c)(4) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 2. Failed to monitor underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once per month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 3. Failed to conduct reconciliation of detailed inventory control records at least once each month, sufficiently accurate to detect a release which equals or exceeds the sum of 1.0% of the total substance flow-through for the month plus 130 gallons [30 Tex. Admin. Code  $\S$  334.50(d)(1)(B)(ii) and Tex. Water Code  $\S$  26.3475(c)(1)].
- 4. Failed to conduct inventory volume measurement for regulated substance inputs, withdrawals, and the amount still remaining in the tank each operating day [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(d)(1)(B)(iii)(I) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 5. Failed to inspect all sumps, manways, overspill containers or catchment basins associated with a UST system at least once every 60 days to assure that their sides, bottoms, and any penetration points are maintained liquid-tight, and free of liquid and debris. Specifically, the submersible turbine pump and the spill buckets contained liquid and debris [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.42(i)].
- 6. Failed to make Stage II records immediately available for review upon request by agency personnel [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.246(7)(A) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].

# Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

# **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

N/A

## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43556 Japan International Corp dba City Star Shell RN101559573

### Docket No. 2012-0359-PST-E

### **Technical Requirements:**

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days:
- i. Conduct the required triennial testing of the cathodic protection system for the UST system at the Station;
- ii. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Station;
- iii. Begin conducting volume measurement and reconciliation of inventory control records;
- iv. Clean the pump and the spill buckets and begin conducting bimonthly inspections of all sumps, manways, and overfill containers or catchment basins;
- v. Begin maintaining all Stage II records at the Station; and
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

# Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

# **Contact Information**

**TCEQ Attorney:** N/A

TCEO Enforcement Coordinator: Danielle Porras, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 7, MC R-12, (713) 767-3682; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-0412

**TCEO SEP Coordinator**: N/A

Respondent: Mohammad Jawed, President, Japan International Corp, 5200 Rufe

Snow Drive, North Richland Hills, Texas 76180

**Respondent's Attorney:** N/A

#### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) Assigned 30-Jan-2012 Screening 13-Feb-2012 **EPA Due** PCW 21-Feb-2012 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Japan International Corp dba City Star Shell Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101559573 Facility/Site Region 4-Dallas/Fort Worth Major/Minor Source Minor **CASE INFORMATION** No. of Violations 4 Enf./Case ID No. 43556 **Docket No.** 2012-0359-PST-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No Enf. Coordinator Danielle Porras Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 7 Maximum \$25,000 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$10,000 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$3,000 30.0% Enhancement Notes Enhancement for one order with denial and poor performer classification. \$0 Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 0.0% Enhancement\* Subtotal 6 \$0 Economic Benefit Total EB Amounts \*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$786 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$13,000 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Adjustment \$703 Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Enhancement to capture the avoided costs of compliance associated with Notes violation nos. 1 and 3.

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Adjustment

Reduction

20.0%

\$13,703

\$13,703

-\$2,740

\$10,963

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Screening Date 13-Feb-2012

Docket No. 2012-0359-PST-E

Respondent Japan International Corp dba City Star Shell

**Case ID No.** 43556

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101559573

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Danielle Porras

Component	ry Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)  Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Pie	ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
<b>5</b>	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2
eat Violator ( No	Subtotal 3)  Adjustment Per	rentane (Suh	total 3
		centage (Bas	issassia k
puance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Poor Perf	ormer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7
pliance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one order with denial and poor performer classificati	on.	ľ
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Subtotals 2, .	3, & 7,

Responden	<b>e</b> 13-Feb-2012	Docket No. 2012-0359-P	31-E	PCW
1 The second sec	it Japan International Corp dba (	City Star Shell	Policy Revision 3 (Sep	otember 2011)
Case ID No			PCW Revision A	August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No	Petroleum Storage Tank			
Enf. Coordinato				
Violation Number				
Rule Cite(s	e)			
Rule Cite(s	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 33	44.49(c)(4) and Tex. Water Code § 2	6.3475(c)(1)	
· reconnected				
**************************************	Failed to have the cathodic pr	rotection system inspected and teste	ed for operability	
Violation Descriptio	and adequacy of protection	at a frequency of at least once ever	y three years.	
***************************************				
· Verence of the second				
Taraka da			Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope		Matrix		
Releas	Harm Major Moderate	Minor		
OR Actu		Minor		
Potenti		Percent	15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix				
Falsification	n Major Moderate	Minor		
<u> </u>		Percent	0.0%	
		d be exposed to pollutants which wo	<b>II</b>	
Notes that are	protective of human health or e	nvironmental receptors as result of t	the violation.	
<u> </u>				
		Adjustment	\$21,250	
der er e			·	#2 7F0
and the second s				\$3,750
Violation Events				
			and the second s	
Number o	of Violation Events 1	1095 Number of vi	olation days	
the observations	dath			
manufacture of Tab.	daily weekly			
	monthly			
mark only one with an x	e quarterly	Violatio	on Base Penalty	\$3,750
WILLI ALL X	semiannual			
automotive.	annual .			
* Approximately	single event x			
The state of the s			····	
One single		hree-year period preceding the Dece	mber 16, 2011	
	inves	tigation date.		
<b>Good Faith Efforts to Cor</b>		Reduction		\$0
arian property of the state of	Extraordinary Before NOV N	IOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer		
HINNY	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
****	Ordinary (r	mark with x)		
				,
The statement of the st	The Responder	nt does not meet the good faith crite	ria for	-
4.000	Notes	this violation.		a control
	Notes	CHS VIOIGUOTI.		# 10 (J.) J.
	Notes			A COLOR
	Notes		plation Subtotal	\$3,750
Economic Dendito/EDN/A	Notes	Vid		\$3,750
Economic Benefit (EB) fo	Notes	Vid	plation Subtotal	\$3,750
	Notes	Vio		\$3,750 \$5,139
	or this violation	Vid	Limit Test	

Violation No.	1	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
		Augusta silkabahkan kan Asiaka	rate is a construction of the				:
	Item Cost	Date Required	Einal Nate	Vre	Interest Saved	5.0 Onetime Costs	1! EB Amount
Item Description			rmai Date	,,,	Anterest Saved	Oneume Costs	LD Allioune
rtem bescription	NO COMMISSION S		8888 B(0, 11 - 12 1 ) 0 (0, 10 a)			Springer to a springer of the sales	
Delaved Costs	183375110116469783784						
Equipment	<b></b>			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land	·			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
,,						Control of the Contro	
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a for one-time avoid	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 enterir	\$0 \$0 ng item (except	n/a n/a for one-time avoid	\$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 enterir 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 eg item (except \$0 \$0	n/a n/a for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 <b>ded costs)</b> \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 enterir 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 *********************************	n/a n/a for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 <b>led costs)</b> \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 *********************************	n/a n/a for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 <b>led costs)</b> \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL \$500	IZE [1] avoided		0.00 0.00 enterir 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 *********************************	n/a n/a for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 <b>led costs)</b> \$0 \$0 \$0

Scre	ening Date	13-Feb-2012	Docket i	<b>No.</b> 2012-0359-PST-E	See devidend en veer trock en devid vad en devid voor on de veel veer de veel devidend de veel de veel veel ve	PCW
SUBTRICE OF THE TAXABLE TO A SECOND S		Japan International Corp	dba City Star Shell		Policy Revision 3 (5	
Reg. Ent. Rei	Case ID No.				PCW Revision	n August 3, 2011
		Petroleum Storage Tank				
		Danielle Porras				
Viol	ation Number	2				
	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 3	34.50(b)(1)(A), (d)(1)( Water Code § 26.347		) and Tex.	
		Failed to monitor undergr	ound storage tanks ("U	STs") for releases at a fro	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		at least once per month (		ntrol records at least on		
Violatio	n Description	month, sufficiently accura	ate to detect a release w	vhich equals or exceeds t	the sum of	
		1.0% of the total substan			· II	
		11		or regulated substance in In the tank each operatin		
		,				
				Bas	e Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environme	ntal, Prope	rt <mark>y and Human He</mark> alt Harm				
	Release	Major Moderat				
OR	Actual Potential			Percent 15.0%	1	
				13.070		
>>Programma	tic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderat	e Minor			
				Percent 0.0%		
		ALL AND				
Matrix	11	h or the environment will or			H	
Notes	that are p	protective of human health	or environmental recept	tors as a result of the vio	lation.	
					421.250	
				Adjustment	\$21,250	
						\$3,750
/iolation Event	ts					
	Number of	Violation Events 1	59	Number of violation	days	
		daily				
		weekly				
	mark only one	monthly x		Violation Bas	e Penalty	\$3,750
	with an x	semiannual				
		annual				
		single event				
	One quart	erly event is recommended	from the December 16	, 2011 investigation date	to the	
		Februar	y 13, 2012 screening da	te.		
Good Faith Effo	orts to Com	ply 0.0	9% Reduction			\$(
		Before NO		nt Offer		
		Extraordinary				
		Ordinary				
		N/A x	(mark with x)		1	
		Notes The Response	ondent does not meet th this violatio	-		
				Violation	Subtotal	\$3,750
conomic Bene	efit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit	Test	
		ed EB Amount	\$62]	Violation Final Pen		\$5,139
		<del></del>	<u> </u>	ed Penalty (adjusted f		\$5,139
		11115	viviativii Filial ASSESS	eu renaity (aujusteu i	or marce)	φυ,105

Media Violation No.	Petroleum Sto 2	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
		Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs				- 14-01-22 - 14-01-22	55757441114 (2.117 - 127 5) (4. 1	: UU ( U - A-100 E -	
Delayed Costs Equipment		<u>11</u>		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	m- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Permit Costs		1		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)			ase detection fo	0.82 or the U	\$62 JSTs including reco	n/a ording daily volume r	\$62 neasurement
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co and monthly	ost to provide relea reconciliation of i the	ase detection fo nventory contro Final Date is th	0.82 or the U ol recor e estim	\$62 JSTs including records. The Date Requarted date of comp	n/a prding daily volume r uired is the investiga oliance.	\$62 neasurement tion date and
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	Estimated co and monthly	ost to provide relea reconciliation of i the	ase detection fo nventory contro Final Date is th	0.82 or the U ol recor e estim	\$62 JSTs including records. The Date Requated date of comparts the contract of	n/a prding daily volume r uired is the investiga oliance. for one-time avoid	\$62 measurement tion date and ed costs)
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal	Estimated co and monthly	ost to provide relea reconciliation of i the	ase detection fo nventory contro Final Date is th	0.82 or the Use record e estimateria 0.00	\$62  JSTs including records. The Date Requirated date of comparison (except \$0	n/a prding daily volume ruired is the investiga pliance.  for one-time avoid \$0	\$62 measurement tion date and ed costs) \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel	Estimated co and monthly	ost to provide relea reconciliation of i the	ase detection fo nventory contro Final Date is th	or the Use of record e estimate of the content of t	\$62  JSTs including records. The Date Requated date of comparing item (except \$0 \$0	n/a  priding daily volume ruired is the investigabliance.  for one-time avoid  \$0  \$0	\$62 neasurement tion date and ed costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  pection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated co and monthly	ost to provide relea reconciliation of i the	ase detection fo nventory contro Final Date is th	or the Unit record e estimate of the order o	\$62  USTs including records. The Date Requested date of comparing item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a  ording daily volume r  uired is the investigate  oliance.  for one-time avoid  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0	\$62 measurement tion date and  ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated co and monthly	ost to provide relea reconciliation of i the	ase detection fo nventory contro Final Date is th	0.82 or the Unit record e estimateria   0.00   0	\$62  USTs including records. The Date Requated date of comparing item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a  ording daily volume r  uired is the investigal  ordinance.  for one-time avoid  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$62 measurement tion date and  ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co and monthly	ost to provide relea reconciliation of i the	ase detection fo nventory contro Final Date is th	0.82 or the Uol record e estimateria 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$62  JSTs including records. The Date Requested date of comparitem (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a  prding daily volume r uired is the investigaliance.  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$62 measurement tion date and  ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated co and monthly	ost to provide relea reconciliation of i the	ase detection fo nventory contro Final Date is th	0.82 or the Unit record e estimateria   0.00   0	\$62  USTs including records. The Date Requated date of comparing item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a  ording daily volume r  uired is the investigal  ordinance.  for one-time avoid  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$62 measurement tion date and  ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Scre	ening Date	13-Feb-2012	Docket	No. 2012-0359-PST-E		PCW
환경 보면 보면 보기를 보다 보고 한 사람이 되는 것으로 되었다.	F - 141 FEMARE 114	Japan International Corp	dba City Star Shell		Policy Revision	n 3 (September 2011)
製造した。 しんしゅんり かいかい かいかいかい かいりんご	Case ID No.				PCW Re	vision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Ref						***************************************
		Petroleum Storage Tank				* continue
		Danielle Porras				ye-re-needed
Viola	ation Number			·····		***************************************
	Rule Cite(s)		30 Tex. Admin. Code	§ 334.42(i)		
Violatio	n Description	associated with a UST sy bottoms, and any penet	stem at least once ever tration points are maint	oill containers or catchmening 60 days to assure that the cained liquid-tight, and free thine pump and the spill but debris.	heir sides, e of liquid	
				Bas	e Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environme	ntal. Proper	ty and Human Heal	lth Matrix			
		Harm				
	Release		te Minor			
OR	Actual Potential			Percent 5.0%		
>>Programma	tic Matrix		The state of the s			www.www.
	Falsification	Major Moderal	<u>te Minor</u>	······································		
				Percent 0.0%		The state of the s
	l				1	***************************************
Matrix	1	olth or the environment wil	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ll l	december
Notes	Wnich Would r	not exceed levels that are pro-	protective of numan ne esult of the violation.	aith or environmental rece	eptors as a	ar vocamen
	<u> </u>		codic or the violation			San areas
				Adjustment	\$23,750	
					_	\$1,250
0.00					L	\$1,230
<b>Violation Event</b>	:s					***************************************
	N	Vicinities Franks		O Tallymbay of violation	4	
-	Number or	Violation Events 1		Number of violation	uays	accessor-very que
	mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly x semiannual annual single event		Violation Bas	e Penaity	\$1,250
***************************************	One quart	erly event is recommended	d from the December 1	6 2011 investigation date	to the	0000000
	One qualt	- ·	ry 13, 2012 screening d	· -	to the	**************************************
transportunates to	L					COLLEGE COMPANY
<b>Good Faith Effo</b>	orts to Com	ply 0.0	0% Reduction			\$0
		Before NO	OV NOV to EDPRP/Settlem	ent Offer		
		Extraordinary				***************************************
-		Ordinary	(			o constant
*		N/A  <u>x</u>	(mark with x)			
		Notes The Resp	oondent does not meet this violati	the good faith criteria for on.		and managering topic (see a second
		( <del>1</del>		Violation	Subtotal	\$1,250
Enganta Barra	fit (ED)	this violation				7-1-38
Economic Bene				Statutory Limit		#1 710
The second of th	Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$105	Violation Final Pena	_	\$1,713
		This	violation Final Asses	sed Penalty (adjusted f	or limits)	\$1,713]

556 1101559573 troleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of
1101559573 troleum Sto	rage Tank	Final Date		- Mar affar man e man	Percent Interest	
1101559573 troleum Sto	rage Tank	Final Date		-Standardica - Taga	Percent Interest	
troleum Sto	rage Tank	Final Date	120	rátina hadalam maga ji ji ji jigga	Percent Interest	
Item Cost		Final Date		-Research Community (1917)	Percent Interest	C
	Date Required	Final Date		And the reserve of the second		Depreciation
	Date Required	Final Date	1143544		F 0	
	Date Required	Final Date	<u></u>		5.0	15
commas or \$			Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
44.14.41.14.22.22.2						
		USA MARKITANA				
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
					n/a	\$0
						\$0
					-	\$0
						\$0
			<u>  0.00  </u>	\$0	n/a	\$0
ANNUAL	TZE [1] avoided	costs before e	enterin	ng item (except i	for one-time avoid	ed costs)
ANNUAL	TZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterin 0.00	ng item (except 1 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0	ed costs) \$0
ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00		\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
\$100	IZE [1] avoided		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
				0.00	0.00 \$0   0.00 \$0   0.00 \$0   0.00 \$0   0.00 \$0   0.00 \$0   0.00 \$0	0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0

5 Disconnection of the property of the Control o	ening Date		Docket No. 2012-0359-PST-E	PCW
€ 333333333333333333333333333333333333		Japan International Corp of	dba City Star Shell	Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
	Case ID No.			PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Ref				***************************************
		Petroleum Storage Tank		
		Danielle Porras		***************************************
Viol	ation Number			
and the same of th	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 1:	15.246(7)(A) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 3	82.085(b)
To A Andrews				
				***************************************
	- Diti	Failed to make Stage II	records immediately available for review upon re	equest by
Violatio	n Description		agency personnel.	
		·		
			Bas	se Penalty \$25,000
>> Environme	ntal, Propei	rty and Human Healt	th Matrix	TO A STATE OF THE
	Dalassa	Harm Madagate	a Minor	
OR	Release Actual		e Minor	***************************************
UK.	Potential		Percent 0.0%	
	rocentia	L	10.00m 0.0%	-
>>Programma	tic Matrix			
7	Falsification	Major Moderate	e Minor	-
		х	Percent 5.0%	***************************************
	<u></u>			•
Matrix				
Notes		100% of the	rule requirement was not met.	,
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			Adjustment	\$23,750
			Aujustinent	
				\$1,250
Violation Event	ts .			
	Number of	Violation Events 1	1 Number of violation	days
	Marrioci or	VIOIDION EVENUS 1		40,5
		daily	7	intercon
		weekly		
		monthly		
	mark only one with an x	quarterly	Violation Bas	se Penalty \$1,250
		semiannual		
		annual	_	and the state of t
		single event x		a constant
	One single e		d on documentation of the violation during the E	December
		16	, 2011 investigation.	oonee
<b>Good Faith Effe</b>	orts to Com		% Reduction	\$0
		Before NO	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Extraordinary		and the second
		Ordinary		277
		N/A x	(mark with x)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		The Response	ondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	· ·
		Notes	this violation.	1
			<u> э</u>	
			Violation	Subtotal \$1,250
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ψ1/230
Economic Bene	efit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limi	t Test
•	Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$21 Violation Final Pen	alty Total \$1,713
		This	violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted t	for limits) \$1,713
				A STATE OF THE STA

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	Japan Interna	tional Corp dba Ci	ty Star Shell				A, TE 6 7 8 7 1 6 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Case ID No.	43556						
Rea. Ent. Reference No.	RN101559573	3					
	Petroleum Sto					B	Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
7,7,4,4,7,1,7,1					Augustini i	5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
			on the Contract of the Contrac	*** ***********************************		String's Allender of the second of the secon	
Delaved Costs	3220 (556)			4. 3558			
Equipment		T T		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	16-Dec-2011	12-Oct-2012	0.82	\$21	n/a	\$21
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs		and th	ne Final Date is	the est	timated date of co	Required is the invermilance.  for one-time avoid	
Disposal				0.00	s0 .	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
nspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							·
		1.51.					

### **Compliance History Report**

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN603539222 Japan International Corp Classification:Poor Rating:67.50

Regulated Entity: RN101559573 City Star Shell Classification:Poor Site Rating:67.50

ID Number(s): PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION 17926

REGISTRATION

Location: 5200 RUFE SNOW DR, NORTH RICHLAND HILLS, TX,

76180

TCEQ Region: REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX

Date Compliance History Prepared: February 13, 2012

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: February 12, 2007 to February 13, 2012

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Danielle Porras Phone: (713) 767-3682

#### **Site Compliance History Components**

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? YES

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? YES

3. If YES, who is the current owner/operator?

Japan International Corp, OWNER OPERATOR

4. If YES, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? Focus Metroplex, Inc., OWNER OPERATOR

5. If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? 1/1/2009

6. Rating Date: 9/1/2011 Repeat Violator: NO

#### Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 01/30/2009 ADMINORDER 2008-0908-PST-E

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(A)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, including, but not limited to absence or disconnection of any component that is a part of the approved system.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.3475(c)(1)

30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.50(b)(1)(A)

Description: Failed to ensure that all USTs are monitored in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring).

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.3475(d)

30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.49(a)(2)

Description: Failed to ensure that a cathodic protection system is designed, installed, operated, and maintained in a manner that will ensure that corrosion protection will be continuously provided to all underground metal components of the UST system.

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

  1 05/29/2008 (670928)

  2 01/26/2012 (976558)

  E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

  N/A

  F. Environmental audits.

  N/A

  G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

  N/A
- H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	8	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
JAPAN INTERNATIONAL CORP	§	
DBA CITY STAR SHELL	§	
RN101559573	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-0359-PST-E

#### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Japan International Corp dba City Star Shell ("the Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382 and Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 5200 Rufe Snow Drive in North Richland Hills, Tarrant County, Texas (the "Station").
- 2. The Respondent's four underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission. The Station consists of one or more sources as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.003(12).
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about February 1, 2012.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Thirteen Thousand Seven Hundred Three Dollars (\$13,703) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). On or about April 2, 2012, the Respondent filed a petition for bankruptcy relief pursuant to Chapter 11 of the United States Code. The Automatic Stay imposed by the Bankruptcy Code (specifically, 11 USC § 362(a)) does not apply to the commencement or continuation of an action or proceeding by a governmental unit to enforce such governmental unit's police or regulatory power, by virtue of the exception set out at 11 USC § 362(b)(4). Accordingly, TCEQ (a governmental unit as defined under 11 USC § 101(27)) is expressly excepted from the automatic stay in pursuing enforcement of the State's environmental protection laws, and in seeking to liquidate its So long as the Debtor's bankruptcy proceedings are damages for such violations. pending and/or until relief from the automatic stay is granted, the TCEQ will, however, not seek to execute upon any monetary judgment obtained without first approaching the United States Bankruptcy Court where the Debtor's bankruptcy case is pending as necessary, after consultation with the Office of the Attorney General. Two Thousand Seven Hundred Forty Dollars (\$2,740) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. Any deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty upon approval by the bankruptcy court.
- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Station, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to have the cathodic protection system inspected and tested for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(c)(4) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 16, 2011.

- 2. Failed to monitor USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once per month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 16, 2011.
- 3. Failed to conduct reconciliation of detailed inventory control records at least once each month, sufficiently accurate to detect a release which equals or exceeds the sum of 1.0% of the total substance flow-through for the month plus 130 gallons, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(d)(1)(B)(ii) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 16, 2011.
- 4. Failed to conduct inventory volume measurement for regulated substance inputs, withdrawals, and the amount still remaining in the tank each operating day, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(d)(1)(B)(iii)(I) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 16, 2011.
- 5. Failed to inspect all sumps, manways, overspill containers or catchment basins associated with a UST system at least once every 60 days to assure that their sides, bottoms, and any penetration points are maintained liquid-tight, and free of liquid and debris, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.42(i), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 16, 2011. Specifically, the submersible turbine pump and the spill buckets contained liquid and debris.
- 6. Failed to make Stage II records immediately available for review upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.246(7)(A) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 16, 2011.

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent be assessed an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The assessment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments may be made payable to "TCEQ" and sent with the notation "Re: Japan International Corp dba City Star Shell, Docket No. 2012-0359-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
    - i. Conduct the required triennial testing of the cathodic protection system for the UST system at the Station, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49;
    - ii. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Station, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50;
    - iii. Begin conducting volume measurement and reconciliation of inventory control records, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50;
    - iv. Clean the pump and the spill buckets and begin conducting bimonthly inspections of all sumps, manways, and overfill containers or catchment basins, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.42; and
    - v. Begin maintaining all Stage II records at the Station, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.246;
  - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a.i. through 2.a.v. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Japan International Corp dba City Star Shell DOCKET NO. 2012-0359-PST-E Page 5

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2309 Gravel Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.

Japan International Corp dba City Star Shell DOCKET NO. 2012-0359-PST-E Page 6

8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

Authorized Representative of

Japan International Corp dba City Star Shell

Japan International Corp & City Star Shell DOCKET NO. 2012-0359-PST-E Page 7

# SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

	- 8/24/12
For the Commission  For the Executive Director	Date Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf do agree to the terms and conditions specified materially relying on such representation.	d the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to of the entity indicated below my signature, and I d therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ is
I also understand that failure to comply with result in:  A negative impact on compliance history of any permit applicate.  Referral of this case to the Attorney additional penalties, and/or attorney for the impact of the Attorney for the Attorney for the Attorney General to the Attorney General desired.	General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief ees; cement actions; eneral's Office of any future enforcement actions
	d by law. e documents may result in criminal prosecution.
Signature	Date / 12
Mohammad Jawes Name (Printed or typed)	President.

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.